

## CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT

Child maltreatment is a serious problem that has grave and costly consequences for the child, his or her family and the community at-large. A child who has experienced abuse and neglect is more likely to have social, emotional and physical health problems and perform poorly in school.

### Indicator

#### Child Abuse Reports

Reported incidents of suspected child abuse through the ChildLine and Abuse Registry. Does not include General Protective Services reports, which include less severe general neglect reports. (Number and rate per 1,000 children age 0-17)

County	2008			2009			2010			2011			2012			County % Change 2008 to 2012
	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State		
181	2,570	25,655	144	2,231	25,342	157	2,198	24,615	178	2,246	24,378	198	2,590	26,664	9.4%	
12.7	12.1	9.2	10.1	10.5	9.1	11.0	10.4	8.8	12.5	10.6	8.7	13.9	12.2	9.5		

#### Child Abuse Substantiations



Founded or indicated reports of child abuse. Does not include the number of children determined to need General Protective Services.

27.6%	21.1%	16.4%	31.9%	21.6%	15.6%	29.3%	18.1%	14.9%	30.9%	19.2%	14.0%	23.2%	17.5%	13.4%	-15.9%

#### Repeated Child Abuse

Children with another substantiated incident of abuse following the first reported incident. (Data may be overstated.)

4.0%	10.7%	8.6%	17.4%	12.7%	8.9%	6.5%	9.0%	9.0%	9.1%	11.6%	7.9%	15.2%	10.4%	7.9%	280.4%
1 in 25	1 in 9	1 in 12	1 in 6	1 in 8	1 in 11	1 in 15	1 in 11	1 in 11	1 in 11	1 in 9	1 in 13	1 in 7	1 in 10	1 in 13	



## IN-HOME SERVICES


In-home services are designed to strengthen families by addressing the root causes of abuse and neglect. The provision of in-home services helps a child to remain safely in his or her home.

### Indicator

#### Unduplicated Number of Children Served

Children whose families received services in their homes to address concerns related to child safety and well-being during the year.

County	2009			2010			2011			2012			2013			County % Change 2009 to 2013
	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State		
2,892	21,491	155,264	801	23,312	164,099	923	22,325	168,821	892	21,306	164,842	769	20,283	172,807	-73.4%	



## FOSTER CARE - SERVED

Foster care is meant to be a temporary intervention to assure the safety and well-being of a child. A child who spends long periods in foster care is more likely than other children to drop out of school, have mental health challenges, and experience unemployment and/or homeless as an adult. The following shows the past five years of data regarding children served in foster care during each year.

### Indicator

#### Unduplicated Number of Children Served

All Children in Foster Care During the Year  
(Rate per 1,000 children age 0-20)

Indicator	2009			2010			2011			2012			2013			County % Change 2009 to 2013
	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
Unduplicated Number of Children Served	228	1,951	29,024	199	1,709	25,894	169	1,692	22,750	132	1,615	22,000	132	1,462	21,416	-42.1%
(Rate per 1,000 children age 0-20)	14.0	7.4	8.6	12.2	6.5	7.7	10.4	6.4	6.7	8.1	6.1	6.5	8.1	5.6	6.4	

#### By Age

Age Group	2009 County	2009 Rural	2009 State	2010 County	2010 Rural	2010 State	2011 County	2011 Rural	2011 State	2012 County	2012 Rural	2012 State	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	County % Change 2009 to 2013
0-2	14.0%	16.8%	16.5%	11.6%	17.0%	16.8%	14.2%	17.0%	18.2%	14.4%	16.9%	18.9%	13.6%	18.5%	19.2%	-2.8%
3-5	12.7%	12.4%	13.5%	16.6%	14.0%	13.9%	15.4%	15.6%	15.1%	18.9%	16.6%	15.6%	15.2%	15.7%	15.9%	19.1%
6-8	12.7%	10.1%	10.4%	10.6%	10.1%	10.3%	13.0%	10.8%	10.5%	15.2%	10.5%	10.8%	15.9%	11.7%	11.5%	25.1%
9-11	9.2%	9.0%	9.0%	11.1%	9.9%	8.9%	9.5%	9.1%	8.9%	3.8%	9.7%	8.9%	6.8%	8.8%	8.9%	-26.0%
12-14	14.0%	14.8%	12.5%	11.1%	13.1%	11.9%	11.8%	14.3%	12.1%	12.9%	13.9%	12.0%	10.6%	13.2%	11.4%	-24.4%
15-17	30.3%	29.1%	28.1%	29.6%	29.0%	27.6%	21.9%	26.7%	24.8%	23.5%	24.8%	23.3%	30.3%	23.6%	22.3%	0.1%
18-20	7.0%	8.0%	9.9%	9.5%	6.8%	10.5%	14.2%	6.6%	10.4%	11.4%	7.6%	10.5%	7.6%	8.5%	10.7%	8.0%
Infants (age 0-1)	8.8%	10.5%	10.3%	6.5%	9.8%	10.5%	11.2%	11.5%	11.7%	9.8%	11.4%	12.2%	9.1%	12.4%	11.9%	3.6%
Youth (age 13+)	48.2%	48.6%	47.4%	47.2%	45.0%	46.9%	44.4%	43.7%	44.2%	44.7%	42.5%	42.7%	48.5%	42.2%	41.5%	0.5%

#### By Race and Ethnicity

Race/Ethnicity	2009 County	2009 Rural	2009 State	2010 County	2010 Rural	2010 State	2011 County	2011 Rural	2011 State	2012 County	2012 Rural	2012 State	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	County % Change 2009 to 2013
White	93.0%	92.3%	48.9%	95.5%	93.4%	49.3%	95.3%	93.0%	52.8%	95.5%	94.1%	56.4%	97.7%	95.5%	57.1%	5.1%
Black or African American	5.3%	7.2%	45.6%	3.5%	6.7%	47.5%	4.7%	6.4%	46.5%	4.5%	6.3%	44.1%	5.3%	6.9%	45.0%	0.8%
Other	1.8%	1.5%	6.2%	1.0%	1.2%	4.4%	0.0%	1.7%	2.6%	0.0%	1.3%	2.9%	0.8%	0.5%	2.0%	-56.8%
Hispanic or Latino	1.3%	2.7%	10.2%	3.0%	2.8%	11.3%	2.4%	3.4%	11.6%	3.0%	2.8%	12.0%	3.0%	2.8%	12.5%	130.3%

#### By Gender

Gender	2009 County	2009 Rural	2009 State	2010 County	2010 Rural	2010 State	2011 County	2011 Rural	2011 State	2012 County	2012 Rural	2012 State	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	County % Change 2009 to 2013
Male	61.0%	54.9%	53.6%	59.8%	54.8%	53.1%	56.2%	54.4%	50.7%	56.1%	53.4%	50.5%	50.8%	52.9%	50.8%	-16.7%
Female	39.0%	45.1%	46.4%	40.2%	45.2%	46.9%	43.8%	45.6%	49.3%	43.9%	46.6%	49.5%	49.2%	47.1%	49.2%	26.1%



#### By First Placement Setting

Placement Setting	2009 County	2009 Rural	2009 State	2010 County	2010 Rural	2010 State	2011 County	2011 Rural	2011 State	2012 County	2012 Rural	2012 State	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	County % Change 2009 to 2013
<b>Family Setting</b>	<b>78.5%</b>	<b>69.9%</b>	<b>68.5%</b>	<b>79.9%</b>	<b>70.7%</b>	<b>69.2%</b>	<b>83.4%</b>	<b>73.8%</b>	<b>72.0%</b>	<b>89.4%</b>	<b>75.7%</b>	<b>72.8%</b>	<b>68.2%</b>	<b>73.8%</b>	<b>73.4%</b>	<b>-13.2%</b>
Pre-adoptive Home	15.8%	4.0%	4.4%	9.5%	3.7%	4.3%	5.3%	3.1%	3.9%	1.5%	3.0%	3.2%	3.0%	4.2%	3.4%	-80.8%
Foster Family Home - Relative	8.8%	16.5%	21.5%	12.6%	19.1%	22.2%	10.1%	20.2%	23.5%	13.6%	23.1%	24.4%	15.9%	22.0%	24.8%	81.4%
Foster Family Home - Non-Relative	53.9%	49.4%	42.6%	57.8%	47.9%	42.7%	68.0%	50.5%	44.5%	74.2%	49.7%	45.2%	49.2%	47.6%	45.2%	-8.7%
<b>Congregate Care</b>	<b>21.5%</b>	<b>25.3%</b>	<b>25.9%</b>	<b>20.1%</b>	<b>25.6%</b>	<b>24.0%</b>	<b>16.6%</b>	<b>22.6%</b>	<b>20.6%</b>	<b>9.8%</b>	<b>19.9%</b>	<b>20.6%</b>	<b>15.9%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>19.6%</b>	<b>-26.0%</b>
Group Home	7.9%	17.5%	12.7%	8.0%	18.7%	12.2%	5.9%	16.1%	11.7%	3.0%	14.7%	12.5%	3.8%	14.2%	12.1%	-52.0%
Institution	13.6%	7.8%	13.2%	12.1%	6.8%	11.9%	10.7%	6.4%	8.9%	6.8%	5.2%	8.0%	12.1%	5.7%	7.5%	-10.9%
<b>Supervised Independent Living</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>-</b>
Runaway	0.0%	0.3%	0.7%	0.0%	0.1%	2.1%	0.0%	0.1%	2.0%	0.0%	0.1%	1.4%	0.8%	0.2%	1.8%	-
Trial Home Visit	0.0%	4.3%	3.1%	0.0%	3.2%	2.7%	0.0%	3.0%	3.1%	0.0%	3.2%	3.4%	13.6%	4.6%	3.2%	-

#### Children Entering Foster Care

All Entries into Foster Care During the Year

Year	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	County % Change 2009 to 2013	
All Entries	65	865	11,630	80	774	10,898	-26.2%



## FOSTER CARE - FIRST TIME ENTRIES

Data on first time entries provides information on children who have just begun their foster care experience during the past year. How the child welfare system is serving them may say something about current system practice and decision making.

### Indicator

#### Children Entering for the First Time

First Time Entries During the Year  
(Rate per 1,000 children age 0-20)  
First Time Entries as Percent of All Entries

Indicator	2009			2010			2011			2012			2013			County % Change 2009 to 2013
	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
First Time Entries During the Year	53	615	7,699	58	553	7,083	31	637	6,979	38	568	7,018	42	546	6,698	-20.8%
(Rate per 1,000 children age 0-20)	3.3	2.3	2.3	3.6	2.1	2.1	1.9	2.4	2.1	2.3	2.2	2.1	2.6	2.1	2.0	7.3%
First Time Entries as Percent of All Entries	81.5%	71.1%	66.2%	72.5%	71.4%	65.0%	67.4%	74.3%	70.9%	86.4%	75.0%	71.7%	87.5%	78.0%	72.0%	

#### By Age

Age Group	2009 County	2009 Rural	2009 State	2010 County	2010 Rural	2010 State	2011 County	2011 Rural	2011 State	2012 County	2012 Rural	2012 State	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	County % Change
0-2	39.6%	29.9%	30.8%	29.3%	28.2%	30.9%	32.3%	27.3%	31.7%	31.6%	28.0%	32.2%	23.8%	30.2%	32.8%	-39.9%
3-5	7.5%	8.3%	11.6%	15.5%	13.4%	12.6%	9.7%	12.1%	14.1%	18.4%	14.3%	14.2%	9.5%	13.9%	14.6%	26.2%
6-8	13.2%	10.1%	8.7%	10.3%	9.9%	8.6%	12.9%	8.0%	9.3%	2.6%	9.7%	10.1%	7.1%	10.8%	10.0%	-45.9%
9-11	5.7%	7.5%	7.9%	5.2%	6.5%	7.8%	9.7%	8.8%	7.9%	5.3%	9.3%	8.6%	11.9%	8.8%	8.2%	110.3%
12-14	15.1%	17.4%	15.2%	13.8%	12.8%	15.3%	19.4%	17.9%	14.7%	15.8%	15.1%	14.4%	11.9%	15.6%	13.5%	-21.1%
15-17	18.9%	26.7%	25.5%	25.9%	29.1%	24.8%	16.1%	25.9%	22.2%	26.3%	23.6%	20.4%	35.7%	20.5%	20.8%	89.3%
18-20	0.0%	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	-
Infants (age 0-1)	32.1%	23.1%	25.1%	19.0%	21.5%	25.1%	32.3%	21.7%	25.7%	26.3%	21.5%	26.2%	19.0%	24.5%	26.4%	-40.6%
Youth (age 13+)	34.0%	40.8%	38.0%	32.8%	37.6%	36.8%	35.5%	39.7%	33.8%	39.5%	35.4%	31.2%	45.2%	32.2%	31.4%	33.2%

#### By Race and Ethnicity



Race/Ethnicity	2009 County	2009 Rural	2009 State	2010 County	2010 Rural	2010 State	2011 County	2011 Rural	2011 State	2012 County	2012 Rural	2012 State	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	County % Change
White	90.6%	93.2%	54.4%	100.0%	94.8%	56.2%	93.5%	92.3%	59.2%	94.7%	93.0%	62.0%	97.6%	94.9%	62.1%	7.8%
Black or African American	5.7%	5.2%	37.9%	0.0%	4.9%	41.3%	6.5%	6.4%	40.4%	5.3%	6.7%	37.7%	2.4%	7.5%	39.2%	-57.9%
Other	3.8%	2.4%	8.5%	0.0%	1.4%	4.0%	0.0%	1.9%	2.3%	0.0%	1.6%	3.4%	2.4%	1.8%	2.6%	-36.9%
Hispanic or Latino	1.9%	2.1%	11.2%	6.9%	3.6%	12.8%	0.0%	3.6%	12.4%	0.0%	1.8%	11.7%	4.8%	2.9%	13.0%	152.4%

#### By Gender

Gender	2009 County	2009 Rural	2009 State	2010 County	2010 Rural	2010 State	2011 County	2011 Rural	2011 State	2012 County	2012 Rural	2012 State	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	County % Change
Male	58.5%	55.9%	52.8%	62.1%	52.6%	51.4%	54.8%	52.9%	49.6%	50.0%	49.8%	49.9%	42.9%	49.5%	50.1%	-26.7%
Female	41.5%	44.1%	47.2%	37.9%	47.4%	48.6%	45.2%	47.1%	50.4%	50.0%	50.2%	50.1%	57.1%	50.5%	49.9%	37.7%

#### By First Placement Setting

Placement Setting	2009 County	2009 Rural	2009 State	2010 County	2010 Rural	2010 State	2011 County	2011 Rural	2011 State	2012 County	2012 Rural	2012 State	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	County % Change
<b>Family Setting</b>	<b>81.1%</b>	<b>71.4%</b>	<b>69.9%</b>	<b>77.6%</b>	<b>69.4%</b>	<b>71.6%</b>	<b>90.3%</b>	<b>72.1%</b>	<b>74.8%</b>	<b>94.7%</b>	<b>77.8%</b>	<b>76.4%</b>	<b>73.8%</b>	<b>77.5%</b>	<b>76.7%</b>	<b>-9.0%</b>
Pre-adoptive Home	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.4%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.3%	-
Foster Family Home – Relative	5.7%	17.2%	23.7%	12.1%	20.4%	24.1%	6.5%	25.0%	21.1%	27.8%	25.8%	11.9%	25.8%	27.2%	25.8%	110.3%
Foster Family Home – Non-Relative	75.5%	54.1%	46.0%	65.5%	48.6%	47.3%	83.9%	47.1%	49.5%	73.7%	49.8%	50.4%	61.9%	51.5%	49.3%	-18.0%
<b>Congregate Care</b>	<b>18.9%</b>	<b>27.5%</b>	<b>28.2%</b>	<b>22.4%</b>	<b>29.5%</b>	<b>26.2%</b>	<b>9.7%</b>	<b>26.5%</b>	<b>22.4%</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>21.1%</b>	<b>21.1%</b>	<b>23.8%</b>	<b>21.2%</b>	<b>21.0%</b>	<b>26.2%</b>
Group Home	11.3%	21.6%	16.1%	10.3%	22.8%	16.3%	3.2%	22.0%	14.8%	0.0%	18.3%	14.7%	2.4%	16.1%	15.0%	-79.0%
Institution	7.5%	5.9%	12.0%	12.1%	6.7%	9.9%	6.5%	4.6%	7.6%	5.3%	2.8%	6.4%	21.4%	5.1%	6.0%	183.9%
<b>Supervised Independent Living</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>-</b>
Runaway	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	2.4%	0.4%	0.6%	-
Trial Home Visit	0.0%	1.1%	1.3%	0.0%	1.1%	1.1%	0.0%	1.3%	1.9%	0.0%	0.9%	1.9%	0.0%	0.7%	1.5%	-



## FOSTER CARE - RE-ENTRIES

Data on re-entries provides information on children who come back into foster care because of new or continuing challenges at home. Some children experience numerous foster care episodes or cycle in an out of the system. Others can experience large spans of time between foster care episodes, which is why the age of children who typically re-enter is older.

### Indicator

#### Children Re-Entering Foster Care

Indicator	2009			2010			2011			2012			2013			County % Change 2009 to 2013
	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
Re-Entries During the Year	12	250	3,931	22	221	3,815	15	220	2,870	6	189	2,775	6	154	2,608	-50.0%
Re-Entries as Percent of All Entries	18.5%	28.9%	33.8%	27.5%	28.6%	35.0%	32.6%	25.7%	29.1%	13.6%	25.0%	28.3%	12.5%	22.0%	28.0%	-32.3%

#### By Age

Age Group	2009 County	2009 Rural	2009 State	2010 County	2010 Rural	2010 State	2011 County	2011 Rural	2011 State	2012 County	2012 Rural	2012 State	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	% Change
0-2	0.0%	11.6%	8.1%	9.1%	12.7%	8.4%	13.3%	13.2%	8.8%	0.0%	11.1%	8.5%	33.3%	5.2%	6.6%	-
3-5	0.0%	8.0%	7.1%	18.2%	12.2%	6.9%	33.3%	13.2%	7.9%	0.0%	16.4%	9.5%	0.0%	9.1%	7.8%	-
6-8	0.0%	7.6%	6.2%	9.1%	7.7%	6.4%	0.0%	5.9%	7.5%	0.0%	6.9%	6.5%	16.7%	7.8%	7.4%	-
9-11	8.3%	7.6%	6.1%	9.1%	9.5%	6.9%	0.0%	7.7%	6.5%	0.0%	5.8%	7.4%	0.0%	5.8%	6.3%	-100.0%
12-14	16.7%	20.8%	18.8%	9.1%	17.6%	18.3%	13.3%	18.2%	19.7%	33.3%	17.5%	21.7%	16.7%	20.1%	18.4%	0.0%
15-17	75.0%	44.0%	50.6%	45.5%	39.8%	49.6%	40.0%	41.8%	46.9%	66.7%	41.8%	44.1%	33.3%	45.5%	46.3%	-55.6%
18-20	0.0%	0.4%	3.1%	0.0%	0.5%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	0.5%	2.5%	0.0%	6.5%	7.1%	-
Infants (age 0-1)	0.0%	6.0%	5.4%	0.0%	5.9%	5.1%	6.7%	9.1%	5.6%	0.0%	4.8%	4.9%	16.7%	2.6%	3.8%	-
Youth (age 13+)	91.7%	60.4%	69.2%	54.5%	54.8%	68.3%	53.3%	55.9%	65.2%	83.3%	55.6%	64.3%	50.0%	68.2%	68.6%	-45.5%

#### By Race and Ethnicity



Race/Ethnicity	2009 County	2009 Rural	2009 State	2010 County	2010 Rural	2010 State	2011 County	2011 Rural	2011 State	2012 County	2012 Rural	2012 State	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	% Change
White	83.3%	91.6%	39.4%	81.8%	95.9%	37.6%	100.0%	93.6%	45.3%	100.0%	97.4%	47.4%	100.0%	96.8%	47.3%	20.0%
Black or African American	16.7%	6.4%	55.1%	18.2%	5.0%	58.3%	0.0%	4.1%	54.0%	0.0%	3.7%	53.7%	0.0%	7.8%	54.7%	-100.0%
Other	0.0%	2.4%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	5.1%	0.0%	2.7%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	-
Hispanic or Latino	0.0%	2.8%	9.0%	0.0%	0.5%	11.4%	0.0%	1.4%	10.7%	0.0%	3.2%	11.3%	0.0%	1.9%	11.4%	-

#### By Gender

Gender	2009 County	2009 Rural	2009 State	2010 County	2010 Rural	2010 State	2011 County	2011 Rural	2011 State	2012 County	2012 Rural	2012 State	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	% Change
Male	41.7%	54.8%	55.1%	54.5%	60.2%	53.8%	53.3%	52.7%	47.0%	66.7%	55.6%	47.6%	66.7%	50.0%	48.1%	60.0%
Female	58.3%	45.2%	44.9%	45.5%	39.8%	46.2%	46.7%	47.3%	53.0%	33.3%	44.4%	52.4%	33.3%	50.0%	51.9%	-42.9%

#### By Placement Setting at Re-Entry

Placement Setting	2009 County	2009 Rural	2009 State	2010 County	2010 Rural	2010 State	2011 County	2011 Rural	2011 State	2012 County	2012 Rural	2012 State	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	% Change
<b>Family Setting</b>	<b>41.7%</b>	<b>57.6%</b>	<b>45.2%</b>	<b>68.2%</b>	<b>57.5%</b>	<b>45.6%</b>	<b>73.3%</b>	<b>61.8%</b>	<b>50.9%</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>58.7%</b>	<b>50.5%</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>52.6%</b>	<b>48.5%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>
Pre-adoptive Home	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	-
Foster Family Home – Relative	0.0%	12.4%	15.1%	4.5%	12.2%	16.6%	0.0%	11.8%	17.2%	0.0%	18.0%	16.5%	16.7%	11.0%	14.9%	-
Foster Family Home – Non-Relative	41.7%	45.2%	29.9%	63.6%	45.2%	28.8%	73.3%	50.0%	33.3%	66.7%	40.7%	33.5%	33.3%	41.6%	33.3%	-20.0%
<b>Congregate Care</b>	<b>58.3%</b>	<b>42.0%</b>	<b>52.4%</b>	<b>31.8%</b>	<b>41.6%</b>	<b>47.9%</b>	<b>26.7%</b>	<b>37.3%</b>	<b>42.7%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>40.7%</b>	<b>45.3%</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>45.5%</b>	<b>44.4%</b>	<b>-14.3%</b>
Group Home	33.3%	32.4%	25.1%	13.6%	31.7%	24.0%	6.7%	30.9%	23.8%	16.7%	34.4%	26.0%	0.0%	35.7%	26.7%	-100.0%
Institution	25.0%	9.6%	27.3%	18.2%	10.0%	23.9%	20.0%	6.4%	18.9%	16.7%	6.3%	19.2%	50.0%	9.7%	17.7%	100.0%
<b>Supervised Independent Living</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>-</b>
Runaway	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	4.4%	0.0%	0.5%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	-
Trial Home Visit	0.0%	0.4%	0.9%	0.0%	0.9%	0.9%	0.0%	0.5%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.6%	1.2%	-



## FOSTER CARE - EXITS

Data on foster care exits provides information on children who left foster care during the year, where they went when they left and how long their most recent episode of foster care was. A paramount goal of the foster care system is to assure every child has the lifelong support of a permanent family.

### Indicator



#### Children Exiting Foster Care

Total Children Leaving Foster Care  
Percent of Children Leaving Foster Care  
(number of months until leaving)

Indicator	2009			2010			2011			2012			2013			County % Change 2009 to 2013
	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
Total Children Leaving Foster Care	109	1,067	14,297	78	851	13,119	95	881	10,630	54	893	10,098	81	751	9,123	-25.7%
Percent of Children Leaving Foster Care	47.8%	54.7%	49.3%	39.2%	49.8%	50.7%	56.2%	52.1%	46.7%	40.9%	55.3%	45.9%	61.4%	51.4%	42.6%	28.4%
(number of months until leaving)	14.1	10.5	11.0	16.7	10.1	11.5	16.9	7.9	11.7	25.4	11.0	11.9	15.2	10.6	11.9	8.4%

### By Discharge Reason

Discharge Reason	2009 County	2009 Rural	2009 State	2010 County	2010 Rural	2010 State	2011 County	2011 Rural	2011 State	2012 County	2012 Rural	2012 State	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	% Change
<b>Exit to Permanent Arrangements</b>	<b>91.7%</b>	<b>89.3%</b>	<b>85.9%</b>	<b>91.0%</b>	<b>91.0%</b>	<b>88.0%</b>	<b>82.1%</b>	<b>90.5%</b>	<b>89.5%</b>	<b>85.2%</b>	<b>90.5%</b>	<b>87.9%</b>	<b>90.1%</b>	<b>89.6%</b>	<b>89.3%</b>	<b>-1.8%</b>
Reunification	56.9%	62.0%	57.4%	52.6%	61.1%	56.9%	56.8%	66.4%	59.3%	40.7%	60.4%	60.1%	58.0%	52.9%	57.3%	2.0%
(number of months until reunification)	5.3	5.1	6.3	6.4	4.7	6.1	5.9	3.0	5.9	10.4	5.8	6.1	7.0	4.8	6.0	31.5%
Adoption	32.1%	15.8%	15.4%	30.8%	14.6%	17.9%	12.6%	12.7%	18.8%	37.0%	17.4%	18.0%	21.0%	20.0%	20.2%	-34.6%
(number of months until adoption)	38.1	39.0	31.6	38.0	20.9	31.1	30.6	29.6	29.6	37.7	31.5	27.6	35.9	28.2	26.7	-5.7%
Guardianship	0.9%	6.1%	7.3%	5.1%	8.2%	7.4%	11.6%	6.4%	6.0%	7.4%	7.3%	5.4%	4.9%	9.3%	6.4%	438.3%
(number of month until guardianship)	24.9	20.7	23.5	46.8	21.2	23.3	35.9	19.6	22.2	27.5	17.8	20.4	23.2	18.7	21.2	-7.0%
Live with Other Relatives	1.8%	5.3%	5.8%	2.6%	7.1%	5.8%	1.1%	5.0%	5.4%	0.0%	5.5%	4.4%	6.2%	7.5%	5.5%	236.4%
(number of months until living with relatives)	0.3	9.9	3.3	4.8	8.3	3.6	14.3	5.1	2.7	0.0	4.3	2.7	7.9	4.7	2.6	2734.9%
<b>Exit to Non-Permanent Arrangements</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>10.4%</b>	<b>14.1%</b>	<b>9.0%</b>	<b>9.0%</b>	<b>11.9%</b>	<b>17.9%</b>	<b>9.4%</b>	<b>10.4%</b>	<b>14.8%</b>	<b>9.5%</b>	<b>12.0%</b>	<b>9.9%</b>	<b>10.1%</b>	<b>10.5%</b>	<b>19.6%</b>
Emancipation	8.3%	8.2%	6.5%	9.0%	8.0%	6.7%	15.8%	7.6%	7.8%	14.8%	8.5%	8.0%	7.4%	7.7%	8.1%	-10.3%
(number of months until emancipation)	19.8	24.9	36.8	22.7	28.7	33.6	46.8	25.8	36.8	67.5	32.3	37.4	41.7	36.2	31.4	110.4%
Transfer to Another Agency	0.0%	2.0%	3.7%	0.0%	1.1%	4.1%	2.1%	1.8%	2.2%	0.0%	1.0%	1.6%	1.2%	2.1%	2.0%	-
(number of months until transfer)	0.0	10.3	5.2	0.0	0.6	4.4	7.9	6.6	5.9	0.0	11.0	5.3	5.2	10.4	6.0	-
Runaway	0.0%	0.2%	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	1.2%	0.3%	0.5%	-
(number of months until running away)	0.0	48.6	6.8	0.0	0.0	9.6	0.0	0.0	18.6	0.0	0.0	4.8	0.1	41.6	14.9	-
<b>Death of Child</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>-</b>
(number of months until death of a child)	0.0	7.4	9.5	0.0	0.0	4.7	0.0	19.9	12.7	0.0	0.0	4.7	0.0	5.1	11.9	-



## FOSTER CARE - REMAINING IN CARE

Data on remaining in foster care provides information on children who did not leave foster care during the year but are still in foster care on the last day of the federal fiscal year. This data includes all children in foster care on September 30. Some of these children may have just entered foster care days prior to the end of the fiscal year and others may have been in foster care for many years.

### Indicator

#### Children Remaining in Foster Care

Children in Foster Care on Last Day  
(number of months children have been in care)

Indicator	2009			2010			2011			2012			2013			County % Change 2009 to 2013
	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
Children in Foster Care on Last Day	124	992	16,605	128	929	14,826	84	917	13,701	84	816	13,566	55	774	13,697	-55.6%
(number of months children have been in care)	19.4	13.0	15.6	20.5	14.4	14.2	21.6	14.6	13.6	15.4	12.7	13.0	17.0	12.6	13.1	-12.5%

#### By Age

Age Group	2009 County	2009 Rural	2009 State	2010 County	2010 Rural	2010 State	2011 County	2011 Rural	2011 State	2012 County	2012 Rural	2012 State	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	County % Change 2009 to 2013
0-2	10.5%	17.1%	17.5%	12.5%	18.4%	17.9%	14.3%	16.9%	18.9%	13.1%	17.6%	19.5%	18.2%	20.0%	19.9%	73.4%
3-5	12.9%	12.9%	13.7%	19.5%	15.2%	13.7%	20.2%	15.6%	14.6%	20.2%	16.7%	15.3%	9.1%	13.8%	15.6%	-29.5%
6-8	11.3%	10.2%	10.7%	11.7%	11.8%	10.5%	16.7%	11.8%	10.3%	15.5%	10.4%	10.9%	9.1%	10.2%	11.6%	-19.5%
9-11	11.3%	11.0%	9.6%	10.2%	10.5%	9.1%	6.0%	9.5%	8.7%	4.8%	10.5%	9.2%	3.6%	9.7%	9.1%	-67.8%
12-14	16.9%	16.3%	13.0%	10.2%	12.9%	12.5%	13.1%	15.0%	12.7%	15.5%	14.2%	12.4%	16.4%	15.6%	11.7%	-3.4%
15-17	33.1%	27.9%	27.4%	28.1%	26.7%	27.1%	17.9%	24.8%	25.2%	23.8%	24.9%	23.4%	40.0%	23.6%	22.6%	21.0%
18-20	4.0%	4.5%	8.1%	7.8%	4.4%	9.1%	11.9%	6.4%	9.7%	7.1%	5.6%	9.4%	3.6%	7.0%	9.5%	-9.8%
Infants (age 0-1)	4.8%	10.1%	11.4%	8.6%	11.3%	11.7%	9.5%	11.3%	12.6%	7.1%	11.9%	13.2%	14.5%	14.3%	12.7%	200.6%
Youth (age 13+)	50.8%	44.7%	45.1%	43.8%	40.2%	45.3%	38.1%	41.9%	44.4%	42.9%	41.1%	41.9%	60.0%	41.7%	40.7%	18.1%

#### By Race and Ethnicity




Race/Ethnicity	2009 County	2009 Rural	2009 State	2010 County	2010 Rural	2010 State	2011 County	2011 Rural	2011 State	2012 County	2012 Rural	2012 State	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	County % Change 2009 to 2013
White	96.0%	92.3%	46.9%	95.3%	93.9%	48.3%	95.2%	93.8%	51.8%	95.2%	94.7%	54.2%	94.5%	95.9%	54.2%	-1.5%
Black or African American	2.4%	7.2%	47.2%	4.7%	6.6%	48.9%	4.8%	6.5%	48.0%	4.8%	6.4%	46.6%	7.3%	6.7%	47.9%	200.6%
Other	1.6%	1.5%	6.7%	0.0%	1.2%	4.2%	0.0%	1.3%	2.3%	0.0%	0.9%	2.7%	1.8%	0.5%	1.9%	12.7%
Hispanic or Latino	1.6%	2.4%	10.1%	3.1%	3.4%	11.2%	4.8%	3.7%	11.7%	2.4%	2.3%	12.2%	0.0%	2.6%	12.7%	-100.0%

#### By Gender

Gender	2009 County	2009 Rural	2009 State	2010 County	2010 Rural	2010 State	2011 County	2011 Rural	2011 State	2012 County	2012 Rural	2012 State	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	County % Change 2009 to 2013
Male	61.3%	55.2%	52.9%	57.0%	55.7%	52.0%	60.7%	55.6%	50.7%	54.8%	56.0%	50.8%	50.9%	52.7%	50.7%	-16.9%
Female	38.7%	44.8%	47.1%	43.0%	44.3%	48.0%	39.3%	44.4%	49.3%	45.2%	44.0%	49.2%	49.1%	47.3%	49.3%	26.8%

#### By Latest Placement Setting

Placement Setting	2009 County	2009 Rural	2009 State	2010 County	2010 Rural	2010 State	2011 County	2011 Rural	2011 State	2012 County	2012 Rural	2012 State	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	County % Change 2009 to 2013
<b>Family Setting</b>	<b>79.0%</b>	<b>76.4%</b>	<b>74.3%</b>	<b>83.6%</b>	<b>75.9%</b>	<b>74.2%</b>	<b>86.9%</b>	<b>76.3%</b>	<b>74.4%</b>	<b>90.5%</b>	<b>79.2%</b>	<b>76.1%</b>	<b>76.4%</b>	<b>77.8%</b>	<b>76.8%</b>	<b>-3.4%</b>
Pre-adoptive Home	4.0%	1.6%	4.0%	0.8%	2.6%	3.9%	1.2%	2.3%	3.5%	0.0%	2.3%	3.0%	3.6%	1.9%	3.2%	-9.8%
Foster Family Home – Relative	13.7%	18.5%	23.0%	10.2%	17.2%	23.0%	6.0%	18.6%	23.6%	16.7%	22.3%	25.2%	14.5%	22.5%	25.7%	6.1%
Foster Family Home – Non-Relative	61.3%	56.3%	47.3%	72.7%	56.1%	47.4%	79.8%	55.4%	47.3%	73.8%	54.5%	47.9%	58.2%	53.4%	47.9%	-5.1%
<b>Congregate Care</b>	<b>21.0%</b>	<b>21.5%</b>	<b>22.4%</b>	<b>16.4%</b>	<b>22.2%</b>	<b>21.0%</b>	<b>13.1%</b>	<b>20.4%</b>	<b>20.3%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>18.8%</b>	<b>19.2%</b>	<b>21.8%</b>	<b>19.4%</b>	<b>18.7%</b>	<b>4.1%</b>
Group Home	5.6%	13.7%	10.5%	6.3%	15.0%	10.6%	4.8%	12.9%	10.7%	4.8%	12.5%	11.0%	5.5%	12.8%	10.8%	-3.4%
Institution	15.3%	7.8%	11.9%	10.2%	7.2%	10.5%	8.3%	7.5%	9.5%	3.6%	6.3%	8.2%	16.4%	6.6%	7.8%	6.8%
<b>Supervised Independent Living</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>-</b>
Runaway	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	0.1%	1.4%	-
Trial Home Visit	0.0%	1.8%	1.2%	0.0%	1.4%	0.9%	0.0%	2.6%	1.2%	0.0%	0.9%	1.1%	0.0%	1.3%	1.0%	-





## FOSTER CARE - STABILITY

Every time a child moves, the trauma caused by separation and lack of continuity grows. A child who faces multiple placements struggles to build and maintain healthy relationships and faces academic challenges due to school changes.

### Indicator

#### Foster Care Placement Stability

Children who were in foster care for 12 to 23 months and had three or more placement settings.

2009			2010			2011			2012			2013			County % Change 2009 to 2013
County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
37.0%	40.5%	37.1%	38.7%	32.7%	37.4%	16.7%	35.4%	36.1%	35.2%	36.7%	36.9%	46.0%	37.4%	34.1%	24.5%

## FOSTER CARE - TIMELY REUNIFICATION

A child's separation from his or her parents or relatives is traumatic for all involved. Minimizing the time a child spends in foster care without compromising safety is key to healthy child development.

### Indicator

#### Timely Reunification with Parents or Relatives

Children who entered foster care for the first time who were reunified with their parents or discharged to relatives within the next 12 months.

2009			2010			2011			2012			2013			County % Change 2009 to 2013
County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
42.6%	58.8%	47.9%	42.0%	57.3%	46.8%	41.7%	54.9%	48.2%	48.6%	56.0%	44.6%	45.9%	52.1%	41.3%	7.7%

## FOSTER CARE - FAILED REUNIFICATION

A child re-entering foster care following a return to his or her family signals a breakdown in the family and a potential failure on the part of the child welfare system to appropriately address family needs.

### Indicator

#### Failed 1-Year Reunification (Re-entry)

Children who re-entered foster care in fewer than 12 months following reunification with parents or relatives. (Data may be overstated.)

2009			2010			2011			2012			2013			County % Change 2009 to 2013
County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
14.5%	22.7%	27.2%	22.0%	23.0%	25.8%	21.6%	19.2%	27.7%	18.2%	19.7%	26.3%	22.2%	20.3%	26.4%	52.8%

We gratefully acknowledge the support of The Annie E. Casey Foundation's KIDS COUNT project, Casey Family Programs, Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, The Heinz Endowments, Richard King Mellon Foundation, The David & Lucile Packard Foundation, The Pittsburgh Foundation and the William Penn Foundation.



The Porch Light Project  
 Pennsylvania Partnerships for Children  
 116 Pine Street, Suite 430  
 Harrisburg, PA • 17101-1244  
[porchlightproject.org](http://porchlightproject.org)  
 717.236.5680 • 800.257.2030