

## CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT

Child maltreatment is a serious problem that has grave and costly consequences for the child, his or her family and the community at-large. A child who has experienced abuse and neglect is more likely to have social, emotional and physical health problems and perform poorly in school.

### Indicator

#### Child Abuse Reports

Reported incidents of suspected child abuse through the ChildLine and Abuse Registry. Does not include General Protective Services reports, which include less severe general neglect reports. (Number and rate per 1,000 children age 0-17)

County	2008			2009			2010			2011			2012			County % Change 2008 to 2012
	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State		
144	2,570	25,655	132	2,231	25,342	115	2,198	24,615	109	2,246	24,378	113	2,590	26,664	-21.5%	
16.5	12.1	9.2	15.1	10.5	9.1	13.2	10.4	8.8	12.5	10.6	8.7	13.0	12.2	9.5		

#### Child Abuse Substantiations



Founded or indicated reports of child abuse. Does not include the number of children determined to need General Protective Services.

18.8%	21.1%	16.4%	15.9%	21.6%	15.6%	22.6%	18.1%	14.9%	22.0%	19.2%	14.0%	18.6%	17.5%	13.4%	-0.9%
-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

#### Repeated Child Abuse

Children with another substantiated incident of abuse following the first reported incident. (Data may be overstated.)

11.1%	10.7%	8.6%	9.5%	12.7%	8.9%	0.0%	9.0%	9.0%	4.2%	11.6%	7.9%	19.0%	10.4%	7.9%	71.4%
1 in 9	1 in 9	1 in 12	1 in 11	1 in 8	1 in 11	0	1 in 11	1 in 11	1 in 24	1 in 9	1 in 13	1 in 5	1 in 10	1 in 13	



## IN-HOME SERVICES


In-home services are designed to strengthen families by addressing the root causes of abuse and neglect. The provision of in-home services helps a child to remain safely in his or her home.

### Indicator

#### Unduplicated Number of Children Served

Children whose families received services in their homes to address concerns related to child safety and well-being during the year.

County	2009			2010			2011			2012			2013			County % Change 2009 to 2013
	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State		
965	21,491	155,264	902	23,312	164,099	815	22,325	168,821	881	21,306	164,842	859	20,283	172,807	-11.0%	



## FOSTER CARE - SERVED

Foster care is meant to be a temporary intervention to assure the safety and well-being of a child. A child who spends long periods in foster care is more likely than other children to drop out of school, have mental health challenges, and experience unemployment and/or homelessness as an adult. The following shows the past five years of data regarding children served in foster care during each year.

### Indicator

#### Unduplicated Number of Children Served

All Children in Foster Care During the Year  
(Rate per 1,000 children age 0-20)

Indicator	2009			2010			2011			2012			2013			County % Change 2009 to 2013
	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
Unduplicated Number of Children Served	82	1,951	29,024	55	1,709	25,894	54	1,692	22,750	58	1,615	22,000	50	1,462	21,416	-39.0%
(Rate per 1,000 children age 0-20)	8.2	7.4	8.6	5.5	6.5	7.7	5.4	6.4	6.7	5.8	6.1	6.5	5.0	5.6	6.4	

#### By Age

Age Group	2009 County	2009 Rural	2009 State	2010 County	2010 Rural	2010 State	2011 County	2011 Rural	2011 State	2012 County	2012 Rural	2012 State	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	County % Change 2009 to 2013
0-2	22.0%	16.8%	16.5%	27.3%	17.0%	16.8%	18.5%	17.0%	18.2%	15.5%	16.9%	18.9%	16.0%	18.5%	19.2%	-27.1%
3-5	4.9%	12.4%	13.5%	1.8%	14.0%	13.9%	5.6%	15.6%	15.1%	8.6%	16.6%	15.6%	8.0%	15.7%	15.9%	64.0%
6-8	8.5%	10.1%	10.4%	9.1%	10.1%	10.3%	3.7%	10.8%	10.5%	10.3%	10.5%	10.8%	8.0%	11.7%	11.5%	-6.3%
9-11	12.2%	9.0%	9.0%	7.3%	9.9%	8.9%	13.0%	9.1%	8.9%	12.1%	9.7%	8.9%	2.0%	8.8%	8.9%	-83.6%
12-14	17.1%	14.8%	12.5%	10.9%	13.1%	11.9%	20.4%	14.3%	12.1%	20.7%	13.9%	12.0%	12.0%	13.2%	11.4%	-29.7%
15-17	26.8%	29.1%	28.1%	32.7%	29.0%	27.6%	29.6%	26.7%	24.8%	17.2%	24.8%	23.3%	38.0%	23.6%	22.3%	41.6%
18-20	8.5%	8.0%	9.9%	10.9%	6.8%	10.5%	9.3%	6.6%	10.4%	15.5%	7.6%	10.5%	16.0%	8.5%	10.7%	87.4%
Infants (age 0-1)	17.1%	10.5%	10.3%	20.0%	9.8%	10.5%	14.8%	11.5%	11.7%	12.1%	11.4%	12.2%	10.0%	12.4%	11.9%	-41.4%
Youth (age 13+)	47.6%	48.6%	47.4%	52.7%	45.0%	46.9%	57.4%	43.7%	44.2%	48.3%	42.5%	42.7%	62.0%	42.2%	41.5%	30.4%

#### By Race and Ethnicity

Race/Ethnicity	2009 County	2009 Rural	2009 State	2010 County	2010 Rural	2010 State	2011 County	2011 Rural	2011 State	2012 County	2012 Rural	2012 State	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	County % Change 2009 to 2013
White	84.1%	92.3%	48.9%	96.4%	93.4%	49.3%	94.4%	93.0%	52.8%	98.3%	94.1%	56.4%	94.0%	95.5%	57.1%	11.7%
Black or African American	14.6%	7.2%	45.6%	1.8%	6.7%	47.5%	1.9%	6.4%	46.5%	5.2%	6.3%	44.1%	6.0%	6.9%	45.0%	-59.0%
Other	1.2%	1.5%	6.2%	1.8%	1.2%	4.4%	3.7%	1.7%	2.6%	0.0%	1.3%	2.9%	0.0%	0.5%	2.0%	-100.0%
Hispanic or Latino	1.2%	2.7%	10.2%	1.8%	2.8%	11.3%	1.9%	3.4%	11.6%	0.0%	2.8%	12.0%	2.0%	2.8%	12.5%	64.0%

#### By Gender

Gender	2009 County	2009 Rural	2009 State	2010 County	2010 Rural	2010 State	2011 County	2011 Rural	2011 State	2012 County	2012 Rural	2012 State	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	County % Change 2009 to 2013
Male	53.7%	54.9%	53.6%	60.0%	54.8%	53.1%	55.6%	54.4%	50.7%	50.0%	53.4%	50.5%	40.0%	52.9%	50.8%	-25.5%
Female	46.3%	45.1%	46.4%	40.0%	45.2%	46.9%	44.4%	45.6%	49.3%	50.0%	46.6%	49.5%	60.0%	47.1%	49.2%	29.5%



#### By First Placement Setting

Placement Setting	2009 County	2009 Rural	2009 State	2010 County	2010 Rural	2010 State	2011 County	2011 Rural	2011 State	2012 County	2012 Rural	2012 State	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	County % Change 2009 to 2013
<b>Family Setting</b>	<b>67.1%</b>	<b>69.9%</b>	<b>68.5%</b>	<b>54.5%</b>	<b>70.7%</b>	<b>69.2%</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>73.8%</b>	<b>72.0%</b>	<b>75.9%</b>	<b>75.7%</b>	<b>72.8%</b>	<b>68.0%</b>	<b>73.8%</b>	<b>73.4%</b>	<b>1.4%</b>
Pre-adoptive Home	8.5%	4.0%	4.4%	5.5%	3.7%	4.3%	5.6%	3.1%	3.9%	1.7%	3.0%	3.2%	4.0%	4.2%	3.4%	-53.1%
Foster Family Home – Relative	12.2%	16.5%	21.5%	9.1%	19.1%	22.2%	5.6%	20.2%	23.5%	19.0%	23.1%	24.4%	14.0%	22.0%	24.8%	14.8%
Foster Family Home – Non-Relative	46.3%	49.4%	42.6%	40.0%	47.9%	42.7%	55.6%	50.5%	44.5%	55.2%	49.7%	45.2%	50.0%	47.6%	45.2%	7.9%
<b>Congregate Care</b>	<b>25.6%</b>	<b>25.3%</b>	<b>25.9%</b>	<b>40.0%</b>	<b>25.6%</b>	<b>24.0%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>22.6%</b>	<b>20.6%</b>	<b>15.5%</b>	<b>19.9%</b>	<b>20.6%</b>	<b>28.0%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>19.6%</b>	<b>9.3%</b>
Group Home	2.4%	17.5%	12.7%	0.0%	18.7%	12.2%	18.5%	16.1%	11.7%	10.3%	14.7%	12.5%	16.0%	14.2%	12.1%	556.0%
Institution	23.2%	7.8%	13.2%	40.0%	6.8%	11.9%	14.8%	6.4%	8.9%	5.2%	5.2%	8.0%	12.0%	5.7%	7.5%	-48.2%
<b>Supervised Independent Living</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>4.0%</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>-</b>
Runaway	0.0%	0.3%	0.7%	0.0%	0.1%	2.1%	0.0%	0.1%	2.0%	0.0%	0.1%	1.4%	0.0%	0.2%	1.8%	-
Trial Home Visit	7.3%	4.3%	3.1%	5.5%	3.2%	2.7%	0.0%	3.0%	3.1%	1.7%	3.2%	3.4%	0.0%	4.6%	3.2%	-100.0%

#### Children Entering Foster Care

All Entries into Foster Care During the Year

Year	2009 County	2009 Rural	2009 State	2010 County	2010 Rural	2010 State	2011 County	2011 Rural	2011 State	2012 County	2012 Rural	2012 State	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	County % Change 2009 to 2013
All Entries into Foster Care During the Year	36	865	11,630	27	774	10,898	28	857	9,849	24	757	9,793	23	700	9,306	-36.1%



## FOSTER CARE - FIRST TIME ENTRIES

Data on first time entries provides information on children who have just begun their foster care experience during the past year. How the child welfare system is serving them may say something about current system practice and decision making.

### Indicator

#### Children Entering for the First Time

First Time Entries During the Year  
(Rate per 1,000 children age 0-20)  
First Time Entries as Percent of All Entries

Indicator	2009			2010			2011			2012			2013			County % Change 2009 to 2013
	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
Children Entering for the First Time	30	615	7,699	21	553	7,083	19	637	6,979	23	568	7,018	17	546	6,698	-43.3%
First Time Entries During the Year (Rate per 1,000 children age 0-20)	3.0	2.3	2.3	2.1	2.1	2.1	1.9	2.4	2.1	2.3	2.2	2.1	1.7	2.1	2.0	-11.3%
First Time Entries as Percent of All Entries	83.3%	71.1%	66.2%	77.8%	71.4%	65.0%	67.9%	74.3%	70.9%	95.8%	75.0%	71.7%	73.9%	78.0%	72.0%	-11.3%

#### By Age

Age Group	2009 County	2009 Rural	2009 State	2010 County	2010 Rural	2010 State	2011 County	2011 Rural	2011 State	2012 County	2012 Rural	2012 State	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	County % Change 2009 to 2013
0-2	43.3%	29.9%	30.8%	38.1%	28.2%	30.9%	15.8%	27.3%	31.7%	21.7%	28.0%	32.2%	29.4%	30.2%	32.8%	-32.1%
3-5	3.3%	8.3%	11.6%	4.8%	13.4%	12.6%	0.0%	12.1%	14.1%	21.7%	14.3%	14.2%	5.9%	13.9%	14.6%	76.5%
6-8	10.0%	10.1%	8.7%	14.3%	9.9%	8.6%	0.0%	8.0%	9.3%	8.7%	9.7%	10.1%	0.0%	10.8%	10.0%	-100.0%
9-11	10.0%	7.5%	7.9%	0.0%	6.5%	7.8%	10.5%	8.8%	7.9%	13.0%	9.3%	8.6%	0.0%	8.8%	8.2%	-100.0%
12-14	13.3%	17.4%	15.2%	9.5%	12.8%	15.3%	42.1%	17.9%	14.7%	8.7%	15.1%	14.4%	23.5%	15.6%	13.5%	76.5%
15-17	20.0%	26.7%	25.5%	33.3%	29.1%	24.8%	31.6%	25.9%	22.2%	26.1%	23.6%	20.4%	41.2%	20.5%	20.8%	105.9%
18-20	0.0%	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	-
Infants (age 0-1)	30.0%	23.1%	25.1%	38.1%	21.5%	25.1%	10.5%	21.7%	25.7%	17.4%	21.5%	26.2%	29.4%	24.5%	26.4%	-2.0%
Youth (age 13+)	26.7%	40.8%	38.0%	42.9%	37.6%	36.8%	63.2%	39.7%	33.8%	34.8%	35.4%	31.2%	52.9%	32.2%	31.4%	98.5%

#### By Race and Ethnicity



Race/Ethnicity	2009 County	2009 Rural	2009 State	2010 County	2010 Rural	2010 State	2011 County	2011 Rural	2011 State	2012 County	2012 Rural	2012 State	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	County % Change 2009 to 2013
White	96.7%	93.2%	54.4%	100.0%	94.8%	56.2%	94.7%	92.3%	59.2%	100.0%	93.0%	62.0%	94.1%	94.9%	62.1%	-2.6%
Black or African American	3.3%	5.2%	37.9%	0.0%	4.9%	41.3%	0.0%	6.4%	40.4%	4.3%	6.7%	37.7%	5.9%	7.5%	39.2%	76.5%
Other	0.0%	2.4%	8.5%	0.0%	1.4%	4.0%	5.3%	1.9%	2.3%	0.0%	1.6%	3.4%	0.0%	1.8%	2.6%	-
Hispanic or Latino	0.0%	2.1%	11.2%	0.0%	3.6%	12.8%	0.0%	3.6%	12.4%	0.0%	1.8%	11.7%	0.0%	2.9%	13.0%	-

#### By Gender

Gender	2009 County	2009 Rural	2009 State	2010 County	2010 Rural	2010 State	2011 County	2011 Rural	2011 State	2012 County	2012 Rural	2012 State	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	County % Change 2009 to 2013
Male	66.7%	55.9%	52.8%	61.9%	52.6%	51.4%	42.1%	52.9%	49.6%	43.5%	49.8%	49.9%	35.3%	49.5%	50.1%	-47.1%
Female	33.3%	44.1%	47.2%	38.1%	47.4%	48.6%	57.9%	47.1%	50.4%	56.5%	50.2%	50.1%	64.7%	50.5%	49.9%	94.1%

#### By First Placement Setting

Placement Setting	2009 County	2009 Rural	2009 State	2010 County	2010 Rural	2010 State	2011 County	2011 Rural	2011 State	2012 County	2012 Rural	2012 State	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	County % Change 2009 to 2013
<b>Family Setting</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>71.4%</b>	<b>69.9%</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>69.4%</b>	<b>71.6%</b>	<b>36.8%</b>	<b>72.1%</b>	<b>74.8%</b>	<b>82.6%</b>	<b>77.8%</b>	<b>76.4%</b>	<b>47.1%</b>	<b>77.5%</b>	<b>76.7%</b>	<b>-29.4%</b>
Pre-adoptive Home	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.4%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.3%	-
Foster Family Home – Relative	3.3%	17.2%	23.7%	28.6%	20.4%	24.1%	10.5%	25.0%	34.8%	27.8%	25.8%	0.0%	25.8%	27.2%	25.8%	-100.0%
Foster Family Home – Non-Relative	63.3%	54.1%	46.0%	38.1%	48.6%	47.3%	26.3%	47.1%	49.5%	47.8%	49.8%	50.4%	47.1%	51.5%	49.3%	-25.7%
<b>Congregate Care</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>27.5%</b>	<b>28.2%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>29.5%</b>	<b>26.2%</b>	<b>63.2%</b>	<b>26.5%</b>	<b>22.4%</b>	<b>17.4%</b>	<b>21.1%</b>	<b>21.1%</b>	<b>52.9%</b>	<b>21.2%</b>	<b>21.0%</b>	<b>58.8%</b>
Group Home	0.0%	21.6%	16.1%	0.0%	22.8%	16.3%	57.9%	22.0%	14.8%	13.0%	18.3%	14.7%	41.2%	16.1%	15.0%	-
Institution	33.3%	5.9%	12.0%	33.3%	6.7%	9.9%	5.3%	4.6%	7.6%	4.3%	2.8%	6.4%	11.8%	5.1%	6.0%	-64.7%
<b>Supervised Independent Living</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>-</b>
Runaway	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.4%	0.6%	-
Trial Home Visit	0.0%	1.1%	1.3%	0.0%	1.1%	1.1%	0.0%	1.3%	1.9%	0.0%	0.9%	1.9%	0.0%	0.7%	1.5%	-



## FOSTER CARE - RE-ENTRIES

Data on re-entries provides information on children who come back into foster care because of new or continuing challenges at home. Some children experience numerous foster care episodes or cycle in an out of the system. Others can experience large spans of time between foster care episodes, which is why the age of children who typically re-enter is older.

### Indicator

#### Children Re-Entering Foster Care

Re-Entries During the Year  
Re-Entries as Percent of All Entries

Indicator	2009			2010			2011			2012			2013			County % Change 2009 to 2013
	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
Children Re-Entering Foster Care	6	250	3,931	6	221	3,815	9	220	2,870	1	189	2,775	6	154	2,608	0.0%
Re-Entries as Percent of All Entries	16.7%	28.9%	33.8%	22.2%	28.6%	35.0%	32.1%	25.7%	29.1%	4.2%	25.0%	28.3%	26.1%	22.0%	28.0%	56.5%

### By Age

Age Group	2009 County	2009 Rural	2009 State	2010 County	2010 Rural	2010 State	2011 County	2011 Rural	2011 State	2012 County	2012 Rural	2012 State	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	% Change
0-2	0.0%	11.6%	8.1%	0.0%	12.7%	8.4%	0.0%	13.2%	8.8%	0.0%	11.1%	8.5%	0.0%	5.2%	6.6%	-
3-5	0.0%	8.0%	7.1%	0.0%	12.2%	6.9%	22.2%	13.2%	7.9%	0.0%	16.4%	9.5%	0.0%	9.1%	7.8%	-
6-8	0.0%	7.6%	6.2%	16.7%	7.7%	6.4%	22.2%	5.9%	7.5%	0.0%	6.9%	6.5%	0.0%	7.8%	7.4%	-
9-11	16.7%	7.6%	6.1%	0.0%	9.5%	6.9%	0.0%	7.7%	6.5%	0.0%	5.8%	7.4%	0.0%	5.8%	6.3%	-100.0%
12-14	33.3%	20.8%	18.8%	16.7%	17.6%	18.3%	11.1%	18.2%	19.7%	0.0%	17.5%	21.7%	16.7%	20.1%	18.4%	-50.0%
15-17	50.0%	44.0%	50.6%	66.7%	39.8%	49.6%	44.4%	41.8%	46.9%	100.0%	41.8%	44.1%	66.7%	45.5%	46.3%	33.3%
18-20	0.0%	0.4%	3.1%	0.0%	0.5%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	0.5%	2.5%	16.7%	6.5%	7.1%	-
Infants (age 0-1)	0.0%	6.0%	5.4%	0.0%	5.9%	5.1%	0.0%	9.1%	5.6%	0.0%	4.8%	4.9%	0.0%	2.6%	3.8%	-
Youth (age 13+)	66.7%	60.4%	69.2%	66.7%	54.8%	68.3%	55.6%	55.9%	65.2%	100.0%	55.6%	64.3%	100.0%	68.2%	68.6%	50.0%

### By Race and Ethnicity



Race/Ethnicity	2009 County	2009 Rural	2009 State	2010 County	2010 Rural	2010 State	2011 County	2011 Rural	2011 State	2012 County	2012 Rural	2012 State	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	% Change
White	83.3%	91.6%	39.4%	100.0%	95.9%	37.6%	100.0%	93.6%	45.3%	100.0%	97.4%	47.4%	100.0%	96.8%	47.3%	20.0%
Black or African American	16.7%	6.4%	55.1%	0.0%	5.0%	58.3%	0.0%	4.1%	54.0%	0.0%	3.7%	53.7%	0.0%	7.8%	54.7%	-100.0%
Other	0.0%	2.4%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	5.1%	0.0%	2.7%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	-
Hispanic or Latino	0.0%	2.8%	9.0%	0.0%	0.5%	11.4%	0.0%	1.4%	10.7%	0.0%	3.2%	11.3%	0.0%	1.9%	11.4%	-

### By Gender

Gender	2009 County	2009 Rural	2009 State	2010 County	2010 Rural	2010 State	2011 County	2011 Rural	2011 State	2012 County	2012 Rural	2012 State	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	% Change
Male	33.3%	54.8%	55.1%	66.7%	60.2%	53.8%	44.4%	52.7%	47.0%	100.0%	55.6%	47.6%	0.0%	50.0%	48.1%	-100.0%
Female	66.7%	45.2%	44.9%	33.3%	39.8%	46.2%	55.6%	47.3%	53.0%	0.0%	44.4%	52.4%	100.0%	50.0%	51.9%	50.0%

### By Placement Setting at Re-Entry

Placement Setting	2009 County	2009 Rural	2009 State	2010 County	2010 Rural	2010 State	2011 County	2011 Rural	2011 State	2012 County	2012 Rural	2012 State	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	% Change
<b>Family Setting</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>57.6%</b>	<b>45.2%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>57.5%</b>	<b>45.6%</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>61.8%</b>	<b>50.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>58.7%</b>	<b>50.5%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>52.6%</b>	<b>48.5%</b>	<b>-33.3%</b>
Pre-adoptive Home	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	-
Foster Family Home – Relative	0.0%	12.4%	15.1%	0.0%	12.2%	16.6%	22.2%	11.8%	17.2%	0.0%	18.0%	16.5%	0.0%	11.0%	14.9%	-
Foster Family Home – Non-Relative	50.0%	45.2%	29.9%	16.7%	45.2%	28.8%	44.4%	50.0%	33.3%	0.0%	40.7%	33.5%	33.3%	41.6%	33.3%	-33.3%
<b>Congregate Care</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>42.0%</b>	<b>52.4%</b>	<b>83.3%</b>	<b>41.6%</b>	<b>47.9%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>37.3%</b>	<b>42.7%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>40.7%</b>	<b>45.3%</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>45.5%</b>	<b>44.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Group Home	0.0%	32.4%	25.1%	0.0%	31.7%	24.0%	11.1%	30.9%	23.8%	100.0%	34.4%	26.0%	33.3%	35.7%	26.7%	-
Institution	50.0%	9.6%	27.3%	83.3%	10.0%	23.9%	22.2%	6.4%	18.9%	0.0%	6.3%	19.2%	16.7%	9.7%	17.7%	-66.7%
<b>Supervised Independent Living</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>-</b>
Runaway	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	4.4%	0.0%	0.5%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	-
Trial Home Visit	0.0%	0.4%	0.9%	0.0%	0.9%	0.9%	0.0%	0.5%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.6%	1.2%	-



## FOSTER CARE - EXITS

Data on foster care exits provides information on children who left foster care during the year, where they went when they left and how long their most recent episode of foster care was. A paramount goal of the foster care system is to assure every child has the lifelong support of a permanent family.

### Indicator



#### Children Exiting Foster Care

Total Children Leaving Foster Care  
Percent of Children Leaving Foster Care  
(number of months until leaving)

Indicator	2009			2010			2011			2012			2013			County % Change 2009 to 2013
	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
Total Children Leaving Foster Care	56	1,067	14,297	32	851	13,119	16	881	10,630	35	893	10,098	24	751	9,123	-57.1%
Percent of Children Leaving Foster Care	68.3%	54.7%	49.3%	58.2%	49.8%	50.7%	29.6%	52.1%	46.7%	60.3%	55.3%	45.9%	48.0%	51.4%	42.6%	-29.7%
(number of months until leaving)	9.3	10.5	11.0	2.6	10.1	11.5	8.1	7.9	11.7	10.9	11.0	11.9	9.7	10.6	11.9	4.2%

### By Discharge Reason

Discharge Reason	2009 County	2009 Rural	2009 State	2010 County	2010 Rural	2010 State	2011 County	2011 Rural	2011 State	2012 County	2012 Rural	2012 State	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	County % Change 2009 to 2013
<b>Exit to Permanent Arrangements</b>	<b>87.5%</b>	<b>89.3%</b>	<b>85.9%</b>	<b>81.3%</b>	<b>91.0%</b>	<b>88.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>90.5%</b>	<b>89.5%</b>	<b>85.7%</b>	<b>90.5%</b>	<b>87.9%</b>	<b>83.3%</b>	<b>89.6%</b>	<b>89.3%</b>	<b>-4.8%</b>
Reunification	44.6%	62.0%	57.4%	59.4%	61.1%	56.9%	50.0%	66.4%	59.3%	37.1%	60.4%	60.1%	45.8%	52.9%	57.3%	2.7%
(number of months until reunification)	2.5	5.1	6.3	0.9	4.7	6.1	1.7	3.0	5.9	1.0	5.8	6.1	0.8	4.8	6.0	-68.0%
Adoption	16.1%	15.8%	15.4%	9.4%	14.6%	17.9%	43.8%	12.7%	18.8%	31.4%	17.4%	18.0%	29.2%	20.0%	20.2%	81.5%
(number of months until adoption)	45.2	39.0	31.6	13.3	20.9	31.1	17.8	29.6	29.6	23.2	31.5	27.6	23.4	28.2	26.7	-48.2%
Guardianship	14.3%	6.1%	7.3%	12.5%	8.2%	7.4%	0.0%	6.4%	6.0%	2.9%	7.3%	5.4%	4.2%	9.3%	6.4%	-70.8%
(number of month until guardianship)	11.0	20.7	23.5	9.9	21.2	23.3	0.0	19.6	22.2	25.8	17.8	20.4	23.6	18.7	21.2	114.0%
Live with Other Relatives	12.5%	5.3%	5.8%	0.0%	7.1%	5.8%	6.3%	5.0%	5.4%	14.3%	5.5%	4.4%	4.2%	7.5%	5.5%	-66.7%
(number of months until living with relatives)	0.5	9.9	3.3	0.0	8.3	3.6	4.5	5.1	2.7	4.6	4.3	2.7	0.2	4.7	2.6	-56.3%
<b>Exit to Non-Permanent Arrangements</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>10.4%</b>	<b>14.1%</b>	<b>18.8%</b>	<b>9.0%</b>	<b>11.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>9.4%</b>	<b>10.4%</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>9.5%</b>	<b>12.0%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>10.1%</b>	<b>10.5%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>
Emancipation	7.1%	8.2%	6.5%	12.5%	8.0%	6.7%	0.0%	7.6%	7.8%	14.3%	8.5%	8.0%	12.5%	7.7%	8.1%	75.0%
(number of months until emancipation)	34.6	24.9	36.8	12.2	28.7	33.6	0.0	25.8	36.8	42.1	32.3	37.4	15.0	36.2	31.4	-56.5%
Transfer to Another Agency	5.4%	2.0%	3.7%	6.3%	1.1%	4.1%	0.0%	1.8%	2.2%	0.0%	1.0%	1.6%	4.2%	2.1%	2.0%	-22.2%
(number of months until transfer)	1.0	10.3	5.2	0.4	0.6	4.4	0.0	6.6	5.9	0.0	11.0	5.3	62.5	10.4	6.0	6240.2%
Runaway	0.0%	0.2%	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%	0.3%	0.5%	-
(number of months until running away)	0.0	48.6	6.8	0.0	0.0	9.6	0.0	0.0	18.6	0.0	0.0	4.8	0.0	41.6	14.9	-
<b>Death of Child</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>-</b>
(number of months until death of a child)	0.0	7.4	9.5	0.0	0.0	4.7	0.0	19.9	12.7	0.0	0.0	4.7	0.0	5.1	11.9	-



## FOSTER CARE - REMAINING IN CARE

Data on remaining in foster care provides information on children who did not leave foster care during the year but are still in foster care on the last day of the federal fiscal year. This data includes all children in foster care on September 30. Some of these children may have just entered foster care days prior to the end of the fiscal year and others may have been in foster care for many years.

### Indicator

#### Children Remaining in Foster Care

Children in Foster Care on Last Day  
(number of months children have been in care)

Indicator	2009			2010			2011			2012			2013			County % Change 2009 to 2013
	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
Children Remaining in Foster Care	29	992	16,605	24	929	14,826	39	917	13,701	26	816	13,566	26	774	13,697	-10.3%
(number of months children have been in care)	14.6	13.0	15.6	23.1	14.4	14.2	9.8	14.6	13.6	14.2	12.7	13.0	12.0	12.6	13.1	-17.6%

### By Age

Age Group	2009 County	2009 Rural	2009 State	2010 County	2010 Rural	2010 State	2011 County	2011 Rural	2011 State	2012 County	2012 Rural	2012 State	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	County % Change 2009 to 2013
0-2	24.1%	17.1%	17.5%	33.3%	18.4%	17.9%	10.3%	16.9%	18.9%	11.5%	17.6%	19.5%	15.4%	20.0%	19.9%	-36.3%
3-5	0.0%	12.9%	13.7%	0.0%	15.2%	13.7%	7.7%	15.6%	14.6%	11.5%	16.7%	15.3%	3.8%	13.8%	15.6%	-
6-8	3.4%	10.2%	10.7%	12.5%	11.8%	10.5%	5.1%	11.8%	10.3%	15.4%	10.4%	10.9%	7.7%	10.2%	11.6%	123.1%
9-11	13.8%	11.0%	9.6%	12.5%	10.5%	9.1%	15.4%	9.5%	8.7%	3.8%	10.5%	9.2%	3.8%	9.7%	9.1%	-72.1%
12-14	13.8%	16.3%	13.0%	8.3%	12.9%	12.5%	23.1%	15.0%	12.7%	19.2%	14.2%	12.4%	15.4%	15.6%	11.7%	11.5%
15-17	37.9%	27.9%	27.4%	25.0%	26.7%	27.1%	25.6%	24.8%	25.2%	26.9%	24.9%	23.4%	42.3%	23.6%	22.6%	11.5%
18-20	6.9%	4.5%	8.1%	8.3%	4.4%	9.1%	12.8%	6.4%	9.7%	11.5%	5.6%	9.4%	11.5%	7.0%	9.5%	67.3%
Infants (age 0-1)	24.1%	10.1%	11.4%	25.0%	11.3%	11.7%	10.3%	11.3%	12.6%	11.5%	11.9%	13.2%	11.5%	14.3%	12.7%	-52.2%
Youth (age 13+)	51.7%	44.7%	45.1%	41.7%	40.2%	45.3%	59.0%	41.9%	44.4%	53.8%	41.1%	41.9%	65.4%	41.7%	40.7%	26.4%

### By Race and Ethnicity




Race/Ethnicity	2009 County	2009 Rural	2009 State	2010 County	2010 Rural	2010 State	2011 County	2011 Rural	2011 State	2012 County	2012 Rural	2012 State	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	County % Change 2009 to 2013
White	93.1%	92.3%	46.9%	91.7%	93.9%	48.3%	97.4%	93.8%	51.8%	96.2%	94.7%	54.2%	92.3%	95.9%	54.2%	-0.9%
Black or African American	3.4%	7.2%	47.2%	4.2%	6.6%	48.9%	2.6%	6.5%	48.0%	7.7%	6.4%	46.6%	7.7%	6.7%	47.9%	123.1%
Other	3.4%	1.5%	6.7%	4.2%	1.2%	4.2%	0.0%	1.3%	2.3%	0.0%	0.9%	2.7%	0.0%	0.5%	1.9%	-100.0%
Hispanic or Latino	3.4%	2.4%	10.1%	4.2%	3.4%	11.2%	0.0%	3.7%	11.7%	0.0%	2.3%	12.2%	3.8%	2.6%	12.7%	11.5%

### By Gender

Gender	2009 County	2009 Rural	2009 State	2010 County	2010 Rural	2010 State	2011 County	2011 Rural	2011 State	2012 County	2012 Rural	2012 State	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	County % Change 2009 to 2013
Male	58.6%	55.2%	52.9%	66.7%	55.7%	52.0%	53.8%	55.6%	50.7%	50.0%	56.0%	50.8%	38.5%	52.7%	50.7%	-34.4%
Female	41.4%	44.8%	47.1%	33.3%	44.3%	48.0%	46.2%	44.4%	49.3%	50.0%	44.0%	49.2%	61.5%	47.3%	49.3%	48.7%

### By Latest Placement Setting

Placement Setting	2009 County	2009 Rural	2009 State	2010 County	2010 Rural	2010 State	2011 County	2011 Rural	2011 State	2012 County	2012 Rural	2012 State	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	County % Change 2009 to 2013
<b>Family Setting</b>	<b>72.4%</b>	<b>76.4%</b>	<b>74.3%</b>	<b>62.5%</b>	<b>75.9%</b>	<b>74.2%</b>	<b>71.8%</b>	<b>76.3%</b>	<b>74.4%</b>	<b>80.8%</b>	<b>79.2%</b>	<b>76.1%</b>	<b>76.9%</b>	<b>77.8%</b>	<b>76.8%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>
Pre-adoptive Home	3.4%	1.6%	4.0%	8.3%	2.6%	3.9%	0.0%	2.3%	3.5%	3.8%	2.3%	3.0%	3.8%	1.9%	3.2%	11.5%
Foster Family Home – Relative	0.0%	18.5%	23.0%	0.0%	17.2%	23.0%	7.7%	18.6%	23.6%	11.5%	22.3%	25.2%	19.2%	22.5%	25.7%	-
Foster Family Home – Non-Relative	69.0%	56.3%	47.3%	54.2%	56.1%	47.4%	64.1%	55.4%	47.3%	65.4%	54.5%	47.9%	53.8%	53.4%	47.9%	-21.9%
<b>Congregate Care</b>	<b>27.6%</b>	<b>21.5%</b>	<b>22.4%</b>	<b>37.5%</b>	<b>22.2%</b>	<b>21.0%</b>	<b>28.2%</b>	<b>20.4%</b>	<b>20.3%</b>	<b>11.5%</b>	<b>18.8%</b>	<b>19.2%</b>	<b>23.1%</b>	<b>19.4%</b>	<b>18.7%</b>	<b>-16.3%</b>
Group Home	3.4%	13.7%	10.5%	0.0%	15.0%	10.6%	15.4%	12.9%	10.7%	3.8%	12.5%	11.0%	3.8%	12.8%	10.8%	11.5%
Institution	24.1%	7.8%	11.9%	37.5%	7.2%	10.5%	12.8%	7.5%	9.5%	7.7%	6.3%	8.2%	19.2%	6.6%	7.8%	-20.3%
<b>Supervised Independent Living</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>-</b>
Runaway	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	0.1%	1.4%	-
Trial Home Visit	0.0%	1.8%	1.2%	0.0%	1.4%	0.9%	0.0%	2.6%	1.2%	0.0%	0.9%	1.1%	0.0%	1.3%	1.0%	-



## FOSTER CARE - STABILITY

Every time a child moves, the trauma caused by separation and lack of continuity grows. A child who faces multiple placements struggles to build and maintain healthy relationships and faces academic challenges due to school changes.

### Indicator

#### Foster Care Placement Stability

Children who were in foster care for 12 to 23 months and had three or more placement settings.

2009			2010			2011			2012			2013			County % Change 2009 to 2013
County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
37.5%	40.5%	37.1%	33.3%	32.7%	37.4%	42.9%	35.4%	36.1%	55.6%	36.7%	36.9%	54.2%	37.4%	34.1%	44.4%

## FOSTER CARE - TIMELY REUNIFICATION

A child's separation from his or her parents or relatives is traumatic for all involved. Minimizing the time a child spends in foster care without compromising safety is key to healthy child development.

### Indicator

#### Timely Reunification with Parents or Relatives

Children who entered foster care for the first time who were reunified with their parents or discharged to relatives within the next 12 months.

2009			2010			2011			2012			2013			County % Change 2009 to 2013
County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
39.1%	58.8%	47.9%	47.6%	57.3%	46.8%	59.1%	54.9%	48.2%	52.9%	56.0%	44.6%	56.5%	52.1%	41.3%	44.4%

## FOSTER CARE - FAILED REUNIFICATION

A child re-entering foster care following a return to his or her family signals a breakdown in the family and a potential failure on the part of the child welfare system to appropriately address family needs.

### Indicator

#### Failed 1-Year Reunification (Re-entry)

Children who re-entered foster care in fewer than 12 months following reunification with parents or relatives. (Data may be overstated.)

2009			2010			2011			2012			2013			County % Change 2009 to 2013
County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
8.1%	22.7%	27.2%	12.1%	23.0%	25.8%	21.1%	19.2%	27.7%	0.0%	19.7%	26.3%	13.3%	20.3%	26.4%	64.4%

We gratefully acknowledge the support of The Annie E. Casey Foundation's KIDS COUNT project, Casey Family Programs, Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, The Heinz Endowments, Richard King Mellon Foundation, The David & Lucile Packard Foundation, The Pittsburgh Foundation and the William Penn Foundation.



The Porch Light Project  
 Pennsylvania Partnerships for Children  
 116 Pine Street, Suite 430  
 Harrisburg, PA • 17101-1244  
[porchlightproject.org](http://porchlightproject.org)  
 717.236.5680 • 800.257.2030